MULTIMODAL INSTITUTE
multimodal.institute

Manifesto Ideamorphiste

Arnaud Quercy

February 21, 2026

Multimodal Institute Publications — manifesto

The Manifesto Ideamorphiste reframes artistic creation as a theory of transmission between minds. Rather than the artist as sole creator, this framework posits the artist as emitter of structured waves that undergo diffraction through each receiver's ouverture — the narrow opening, at once optical, cognitive, and cultural, through which ideas must pass. Borrowing its operational vocabulary from wave optics and information theory, the manifesto argues that creation occurs not in the studio but in the receiver, where generative loss produces genuinely new works irreducible to the original emission. The framework introduces induced diffraction as deliberate practice, where artists engineer incompleteness, resistance, and resonance points to maximize creative potential across diverse ouvertures. Against the contemporary crisis of recognition-optimized content, ideamorphism proposes a game-based methodology centered on the codex — a personal system of constraints and translations unique to each practitioner. The manifesto refuses expression, originality worship, and completion while affirming generative loss, the ouverture as instrument, and propagation of invariant structures across generations. Positioning itself as framework rather than movement, ideamorphism offers terminology and methodology for artists working in multimodal translation, particularly those engaged in systematic cross-sensory practice such as synesthetic composition or transmutation between musical and visual forms.

Arnaud Quercy — Multimodal Institute Revised Edition — March 2026 **A declaration of diffraction, ouverture, and the physics of creative transmission. The artist emits. The receiver creates. The wave propagates.**

The artist does not create. The artist emits. Creation happens elsewhere — in you, through you, despite you.

The Physics of Ideas

Every idea is a wave. Every mind is an ouverture — a narrow opening through which waves must pass. We use the French because no English word carries what this one does: the optical aperture, the musical overture, the act of opening oneself. All three at once.

When the wave enters, it bends, scatters, breaks apart, reassembles as something that never existed before.

This is diffraction. It is not a technique. It is not a choice. It is the universal condition of every transmission between minds.

You already know it. You have always known it. "That's not what I meant" — diffraction. "The book changed me" — diffraction. "We saw the same thing but understood it differently" — diffraction. You have been diffracting all your life. You simply lacked the word.

The Generative Loss

If the signal passed through perfectly — lossless, intact, one equals one — nothing would happen. Recognition. Comfort. Death by fidelity.

Creation requires loss.

The loss is not failure. The loss is the gap where the new thing lives. What scatters when the wave bends through your ouverture is not waste. It is the raw material of everything that has ever moved you.

Lossless is reversible. Reversible is sterile. **1 ≠ 1 is the equation of all that matters.**

The Receiver as Creator

We declare: The receiver is not audience. The receiver is site of creation.

A single emission, passing through a thousand different ouvertures, produces a thousand different creations. Not copies. Not interpretations. Creations — each one irreducible, each one real, each one belonging to the ouverture that made it.

Ten million views is not ten million creations. It is one recognition, replicated. When all ouvertures synchronize, the wave passes through without bending. Nothing happens. Nothing happens ten million times.

The Invariant: Double Structure

Every emission carries an invariant — a structural skeleton that survives translation. But the invariant is not one thing. It is two.

Physical invariant: the material fact of the work — dimensions, colors, forms. Unconditionally stable. Received as constraint. No ouverture can alter it.

Intentional invariant: the structure encoded by the codex — why these forms, why these colors, according to what internal logic. This invariant is not automatically transmitted through perception of the physical layer. It is latent in the wave, activatable in dialogue.

The intentional invariant does not guarantee its own passage. It is the structure of the emission — the rigor of the codex — that makes it robust, difficult to dissolve, salient across diverse ouvertures. An unstable codex produces a blurred intentional invariant. A crystallized codex produces an invariant that survives the crossing.

Explicability of the codex is a condition of full ideamorphism. The artist must be able to articulate the intentional invariant on request — from the artist or the receiver. An invariant the artist cannot explain is an opaque invariant. The emission remains real, but the ideamorphism is partial.

The Ricochet Effect

When the intentional invariant is revealed — on request, in dialogue — it does not correct the receiver's diffraction. It generates a new one.

The receiver has already created. Their diffraction is real, it belongs to them. Then comes the revelation: "Ah, it's music." This moment does not destroy what was created — it reframes it. The receiver must now hold together their own diffraction and the structure they could not see. The tension between the two is productive.

This is the ricochet effect. The wave returns to the emitter charged with what diffraction has produced. The emitter discovers what their invariant generated without their knowing. The revelation is bilateral. The emitter receives in return a wave they structured but could not anticipate.

The ricochet can multiply. The transformed receiver becomes emitter. The invariant unfolds through successive ouvertures, enriched at each rebound. This is the moment ideamorphism becomes dialogue rather than unilateral transmission.

The Codex

Every game needs a codex — a personal system of constraints through which the wave takes its shape. The codex is not recipe. It is ouverture. You do not follow it; you build it, and in building it you build the structure of your particular emission.

The codex operates at two simultaneous levels:

Formal level: rules, constraints, system of transliteration. Articulable on request. This is the layer that can be shared, taught, explained.

Intimate level: why these constraints, the singular logic of this particular ouverture. Non-reproducible. This is what makes the codex yours.

But hear this: you must build your own.

To borrow another's codex is to emit through another's ouverture. The wave arrives wearing a mask. The diffraction is counterfeit. Fashion is the name we give to the mass adoption of borrowed codices by those who never built their own.

Ideamorphism is the practice of building your own codex. The framework says: here is how one ouverture was built. Now build yours.

The Proto-Codex and Crystallization

Every codex begins as a proto-codex. The rules change with each work, registers are explored, results are tentative. Works emitted from a proto-codex are real — they diffract, their receptions are valid. But the intentional invariant is partially inaccessible, even to the artist. The ricochet remains asymmetric: if the receiver asks "why that color," the answer is incomplete.

Through iteration, through feedback, through progressive adjustment, the proto-codex tends toward crystallization. A stability emerges — not through arbitrary decision but through convergence. The codex finds its natural equilibrium.

The crystallized codex is simultaneously constraint and ouverture: constraint because stable, ouverture because never definitively closed. It can be periodically destabilized — by an encounter, an unexpected ricochet, a new modality — before recrystallizing at a deeper level.

The works of the proto-codex are not errors. They are the visible exploration phase, the bifurcations explored and closed. An attentive observer who sees the complete trajectory can partially reconstruct the codex by induction. The trajectory is itself an emission.

Self-Reception as Diagnostic Tool

When emitter and receiver are the same person, full creation does not occur. The wave adjusts to the ouverture that shaped it. This is recognition.

But self-reception is not absent from the framework — it has a precise and legitimate function: diagnosis.

The artist rereads not to create but to measure the gap between intention and result, between the codex aimed at and the codex actually encoded in the wave. It is an act of quality control. Temporal distance introduces a partial gap — the artist who reviews a work after time has passed is no longer quite the same as the one who emitted it. This residual diffraction is not a failure of the diagnostic process. It is what makes it possible: if diffraction were zero, the artist would see nothing new and could not diagnose.

Diagnostic self-reception feeds directly into the codex crystallization process. It is the internal tool by which the artist verifies the robustness of the emission and the stability of the codex — without claiming to create from their own wave.

The Dilution Crisis

We are drowning.

Everyone emits. No one diffracts. The barrier to emission has collapsed to zero and taken creation down with it. Millions of waves, all the same frequency. Billions of ouvertures, all calibrated to the same signal. The algorithm rewards recognition. Novelty is friction. Friction is punished. The scroll continues.

More art than ever. Less creation than ever.

Maximum emission. Minimum diffraction. The species starves at a feast.

But starvation sharpens hunger. The ouverture, bombarded with sameness, grows desperate for difference. When something genuinely alien arrives — something that resists, that demands work, that will not slide through unchanged — it cuts. The starved ouverture seizes it. The diffraction is violent. The emotion is real.

Dilution raises the bar. It also raises the reward.

Engineering Diffraction

The ideamorphist does not passively emit and hope. The ideamorphist engineers diffraction.

Structure the wave to survive translation across ouvertures not your own. Leave gaps that demand the receiver's contribution. Build in resistance — make the ouverture work. Plant resonance points that activate what the receiver did not know they carried. Calibrate incompleteness so that only diffraction can finish the work.

You are not expressing yourself. You are setting the trap.

The Game Framework

Ideamorphism is a game.

Not: What do I want to say? Not: What is beautiful? Not: What do I feel?

But: **What if?**

What if I structure the emission this way — what diffractions become possible?

The artist is not the player. The receiver is the player. The artist is the game designer. You build the board, the rules, the pieces, the conditions for play. Then you release it. They play. Creation is the score.

The game designer bears a responsibility the player does not. To design conditions of play that preserve the receiver's creative freedom — not to trap them in predetermined outcomes, but to open the space where genuine diffraction can occur. The codex is your instrument of design. Use it with rigor. Use it with care.

We Refuse

— **The myth of expression.** The work is not a window into the artist's soul. The work is a wave engineered to diffract through ouvertures not the artist's own.

— **The cult of originality.** Originality is not the goal. Diffractability is. A work is measured not by how new it is but by how many distinct creations it generates across how many distinct ouvertures.

— **The tyranny of recognition.** We do not seek to be recognized. We seek to be diffracted. Recognition is the enemy of creation. When the audience nods in comfortable agreement, nothing has happened.

— **The illusion of completion.** A work that arrives "finished" — that leaves nothing for the receiver to do — is a dead wave. The receiver scrolls past. The ouverture yawns. Incompleteness is not weakness. It is the invitation to create.

— **The supremacy of intention.** What you meant does not matter. What they made of it matters. The emission is yours. The creation is theirs. Let go.

— **The fantasy of self-reception.** When emitter and receiver are the same person, no genuine diffraction occurs. You recognize what you made — your ouverture shaped it, so the wave fits perfectly. Self-reception is recognition, not creation. The artist alone in the studio, receiving their own work, has created nothing yet. Creation requires an ouverture not authored by the emitter. This is the hardest truth in this manifesto.

We Affirm

— **Generative loss.** Every gap, every misunderstanding, every failed transmission is a site of potential creation. Protect the loss. It is sacred.

— **Universal diffraction.** Not our invention. Not our technique. The condition that has always governed every exchange between minds. We merely name it, study it, and learn to work with it deliberately.

— **The ouverture as instrument.** Your senses, your training, your language, your history — these are not limitations. They are the optics through which creation becomes possible. Calibrate them. Widen some. Narrow others. Know your instrument.

— **The game as mode.** Seriousness without solemnity. Stakes without melodrama. Experiment without fear of failure. The game allows all of this. Play.

— **Propagation as purpose.** The wave seeks to continue. Not your name. Not your ego. The invariant — the structural skeleton that survives translation — persisting through ouverture after ouverture, generation after generation. This is the only immortality that matters.

— **Alignment without surrender.** Emitters can synchronize — through shared training, shared codex, shared dialogue — without collapsing into sameness. The ensemble is not the unison. Multiple ouvertures, calibrated together, emit waves that interfere, amplify, reinforce. The danger is convergence into one frequency. The discipline is coherence with variation. Play together. Do not play identical.

Where Diffraction Survives

In live music — unrepeatable, embodied, present. In the handmade — where the hand trembles and no two are identical. In small gatherings — where emission meets receiver without mediation. In silence — where the ouverture recovers and sensitivity returns. In the foreign — where your codex fails and you must build new optics. In the difficult — where resistance is not obstacle but event. In the old — made before the current synchronization, carrying alien structure.

Go where the platform is not. The platform is the dilution engine.

The Framework

This is not a school. There is no style to adopt, no aesthetic to replicate, no membership to claim.

This is a framework. A physics of creation. A discipline of induced diffraction. A way of understanding what has always been happening and learning to do it on purpose.

If you work across senses and domains — if you transliterate sound into color, rhythm into space, language into form — you may already be practicing ideamorphism without the name. If you have systematic methods you have never documented — test them. If you suspect your associations are consistent — measure them. If you have built a codex without knowing it — make it explicit. If you have none — build one.

The methodology exists. The terminology exists. The questions are rich. The field is open.

The Equation

Ideamorphism: making waves that create in others.

The artist emits. The ouverture diffracts. The receiver creates. The wave propagates. The game begins.

CITE THIS WORK

Quercy, A. (2026). Manifesto Ideamorphiste. Zenodo. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19953318

DOI (this version)	10.5281/zenodo.19953318
Deposited	Version 1 · 2026-05-01
License	CC BY 4.0

© 2026 Multimodal Institute (https://multimodal.institute) — Arnaud Quercy *Art Quam Anima — 28 rue du Dragon, 75006 Paris* Published by Art Quam Anima Publishing New York, LLC (https://publishing.artquamanima.com) Licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International (CC BY 4.0) (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/)